**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND MAY 2007 / 12TH VAISAKHA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 14141 of 2007(H)**

---

**PETITIONER:**

---

**MRS. SAFIYA BEEVI,**
**AGED 49 YEARS, W/O. PAREED,**
**PROPRIETRIX, M/S. AGRO TECH INDUSTRIES AND**
**EXPORTERS, M.C. ROAD, RAYONPURAM P.O.,**
**PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI. M. RAMESH CHANDER**

**RESPONDENTS:**

---

1. **KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,**
   **REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,**
   **VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**
   **ELECTRICAL DIVISION,**
   **PERUMBAVOOR.**

3. **ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**
   **ELECTRICAL SECTION, PERUMBAVOOR.**

**BY ADV. SRI. JOSE J. MATHEIKAL, SC FOR KSEB**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.**

**----------**

**W.P. (C) NO. 14141 OF 2007**

**----------**

**Dated this the 2nd day of May, 2007.**

**J U D G M E N T**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking for a direction to the respondents not to levy interest for the delayed payment of arrears shown in Exhibit P4 order and for a direction to the third respondent to dispose of Exhibit P10 representation.

2. The petitioner is having a rice mill unit having electrification. It appears that the petitioner received a bill for Rs. 1,52,699/- which was challenged in W.P.(C) No. 32718/2005. She had to file another writ petition as W.P.(C) No. 3035/2006 challenging a bill issued for the second time. Ultimately, orders have been passed whereby she had been allowed to pay final amounts in 24 installments by the Government. It is stated that the petitioner had been paying the amounts as directed by the Government without default.

3. It is then stated that as per Exhibits P8 and P9 certain bills have been issued levying interest for the delayed payment. According to the petitioner, the same is not in accordance with the order passed by the Government. The petitioner has filed Exhibit P10 representation disputing the same before the third respondent.

In the above circumstances, third respondent is directed to consider and pass orders on Exhibit P10, in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The demand of amount towards interest raised in Exhibits P8 and P9 will be kept in abeyance till such time.

This writ petition is disposed of as above.

**T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR**
**JUDGE**

smp